On digital signatures based on group actions: QROM security and ring signatures

Markus Bläser¹, Zhili Chen², Dung Hoang Duong³, Antoine Joux⁴, Tuong Nguyen³, Thomas Plantard⁵ Youming Qiao², Willy Susilo³, **Gang Tang**^{2,6}

¹Saarland University
²University of Technology Sydney
³University of Wollongong
⁴CISPA
⁵Nokia Bell Labs
⁶ University of Birmingham

13 Jun, 2024

- 1 Our results
- 2 Group action and notions
- 3 Group action + GMW + FS signature
- 4 Security in the QROM and ring signatures
- 5 Results about ATFE

1 Our results

- 2 Group action and notions
- 3 Group action + GMW + FS signature
- **4** Security in the QROM and ring signatures
- 5 Results about ATFE

Our results

Our contributions can be classified into two sets

- GMW-FS design based on abstract group actions.
 - distill properties for group actions to be secure in the quantum random oracle model (QROM).
 - the ring signature construction of Beullens-Katsumata-Pintore (Asiacrypt'20) with abstract group actions.
- based on concrete setting: alternating trilinear form equivalence (ATFE).
 - demonstrates its QROM security.
 - implements the ring signature scheme.

1 Our results

2 Group action and notions

3 Group action + GMW + FS signature

4 Security in the QROM and ring signatures

5 Results about ATFE

Group action and notions

Definition (Group action)

A group *G* acts on a set *X* if there exists a map $\star : G \times X \to X$ such that:

- identity: let id be the identity element of *G*, then $\forall x \in X$, id $\star x = x$.
- compatibility: $\forall g, h \in G, x \in X, gh \star x = g \star (h \star x)$.

Definition (Orbit)

For
$$x \in X$$
, the *orbit* of x is $\mathcal{O}_x = \{y \in X \mid \exists g \in G, y = g \star x\}$.

Definition (Stabilizer group)

For $x \in X$, the *stabilizer group* under \star is $Stab(x) = \{g \in G \mid g \star x = x\}$. An element in Stab(x) is called an automorphism of x.

By the orbit-stabilizer theorem, $|\mathcal{O}_x| \cdot |\operatorname{Stab}(x)| = |G|$.

Group actions and notions

Definition (Group action - stabilizer problem)

Given an element $x \leftarrow X$, the problem asks to find some $g \in G, g \neq id$ such that $g \star x = x$.

Definition (One-way assumption)

For $x \leftarrow SX$, $y \leftarrow SO(x)$, there is no probabilistic or quantum polynomial-time algorithm that returns $g \in G$ such that $g \star x = y$.

Definition (Pseudorandom assumption)

There is no probabilistic or quantum polynomial-time algorithm that can distinguish the following two distributions with nonnegligible probability:

The random distribution: $(x, y) \in X \times X$, where $x, y \leftarrow X$. The pseudorandom distribution: $(x, y) \in X \times X$, $x \leftarrow X$, $y \leftarrow O(x)$.

- 1 Our results
- 2 Group action and notions
- 3 Group action + GMW + FS signature
- **4** Security in the QROM and ring signatures
- 5 Results about ATFE

The GMW-FS digital signature design

It has a clear, 2-step, structure

- Identification scheme based on Goldreich-Micali-Wigderson (J. ACM'91) zero-knowledge protocol for group actions.
- Use Fiat-Shamir transformation (Crypto'86) to turn the above ID scheme to a digital signature.

GMW zero-knowledge protocol for group actions

- Given two set elements *x* and *y* as public key, let *g* be a group element as secret key such that *g* ★ *x* = *y*.
- Alice samples a random group element *h* which sends *x* to $z = h \star x$.

GMW zero-knowledge protocol for group actions

- Given two set elements *x* and *y* as public key, let *g* be a group element as secret key such that *g* ★ *x* = *y*.
- Alice samples a random group element *h* which sends *x* to $z = h \star x$.



Alice: x, y Bob: x, y

- If b = 0, Alice sends r := h to Bob; Otherwise sends $r := hg^{-1}$.
- If b = 0, Bob checks whether $r \star x = z$; Otherwise checks $r \star y = z$.

Step 2: from ID scheme to digital signature

- Fiat and Shamir proposed a method that takes an identification scheme and turns it to a digital signature.
- Key idea: use a hash function to simulate the interaction process.

Step 2: from ID scheme to digital signature

- Fiat and Shamir proposed a method that takes an identification scheme and turns it to a digital signature.
- Key idea: use a hash function to simulate the interaction process.
- Security proved in:
 - The Random Oracle Model (Pointcheval-Stern, 1996).
 - The Quantum Random Oracle Model (Don-Fehr-Majenz-Schaffner, Liu-Zhandry, 2019).

Some group actions based PQC candidates

- NIST call for additional PQ signature: MEDS, LESS, ALTEQ.
- MEDS: matrix code equivalence.
- LESS: linear code equivalence.
- ALTEQ: alternating trilinear form equivalence.
- Matrix code equivalence is polynomially equivalent to alternating trilinear form equivalence and linear code equivalence can be reduced to these two problems [Grochow-Qiao, Growchow-Qiao-Tang].
- Group actions here are not transitive.

- 1 Our results
- 2 Group action and notions
- 3 Group action + GMW + FS signature
- 4 Security in the QROM and ring signatures

5 Results about ATFE

Security in the QROM

Definition (Perfect unique response)

A Σ -protocol has *perfect unique response*, if there is no two valid transcripts (a, c, r) and (a, c, r'), where $r \neq r'$.

Definition (Computationally unique response)

A Σ -protocol has *computationally unique response*, if any poly-time quantum adversary produces two valid transcripts (a, c, r) and (a, c, r') with negligible probability, where $r \neq r'$.

- It's straightforward to give a security proof in QROM for group action + GMW +FS signatures: assume perfect unique response and one-wayness.
- Tight security proof [Kaafarani-Katsumata-Pintore, PKC'20]: assume computationally unique response and pseudorandom property.

Security in the QROM

Lemma (Perfect unique response)

A group action based GMW protocol supports perfect unique response if and only if the stabilizer group is trivial.

Lemma (Computationally unique response)

A group action based GMW protocol supports computationally unique response if and only if no poly-time quantum algorithm can solve the stabilizer problem.

Ring signature

The Beullens-Katsumata-Pintore design

statement: $X_0, ..., X_N \in X$, witness: $g_1, ..., g_N \in G$, where $X_I = g_I \star X_0$ for $I \in \{1, ..., N\}$.



If challenge o, respond rsp = (hg_I, path, bit_I), otherwise
rsp = (h, bit₁, ..., bit_N)

- 1 Our results
- 2 Group action and notions
- 3 Group action + GMW + FS signature
- **4** Security in the QROM and ring signatures

5 Results about ATFE

A candidate: Alternating Trilinear Form Equivalence

- Let GL(n, F_q) be the general linear group consisting of n × n invertible matrices over F_q
- $\phi: \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \to \mathbb{F}_q$ is trilinear if it is linear in all the three arguments.
- We say that a trilinear form $\phi : \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \to \mathbb{F}_q$ is alternating, if whenever two arguments of ϕ are equal, ϕ evaluates to zero.
- A natural group action of $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ on the alternating trilinear form ϕ sends $\phi(u, v, w)$ to $A \star \phi = \phi(A(u), A(v), A(w))$.

A candidate: Alternating Trilinear Form Equivalence

- Let GL(*n*, 𝔽_{*q*}) be the general linear group consisting of *n* × *n* invertible matrices over 𝔽_{*q*}
- $\phi: \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \to \mathbb{F}_q$ is trilinear if it is linear in all the three arguments.
- We say that a trilinear form $\phi : \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \times \mathbb{F}_q^n \to \mathbb{F}_q$ is alternating, if whenever two arguments of ϕ are equal, ϕ evaluates to zero.
- A natural group action of $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ on the alternating trilinear form ϕ sends $\phi(u, v, w)$ to $A \star \phi = \phi(A(u), A(v), A(w))$.

Definition (Alternating Trilinear Form Equivalence (ATFE) problem) Given two alternating trilinear forms ϕ and ψ , the problem asks whether there exists $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $\phi = A \star \psi$.

The QROM security of the ATFE-GMW-FS scheme

To decide whether the stabilizer group is trivial or not is a difficult algorithmic problem.

• Let *A* and *B* be two *n* by *n* variable matrices. set up a system of polynomial equations expressing the following:

• $\phi(A(u), A(v), A(w)) = \phi(u, v, w)$ and $\phi(u, v, w) = \phi(B(u), B(v), B(w))$.

• $\phi(A(u), v, w) = \phi(u, B(v), B(w))$ and $\phi(A(u), A(v), w) = \phi(u, v, B(w))$.

•
$$AB = I$$
 and $BA = I$.

Guess one row for *A*, and use the Gröbner basis algorithm. This algorithm running in time $q^n \cdot \text{poly}(n, \log q)$. we made progress by running experiments for small parameters.

For q = 2 and n = 10, 11, all 100 samples return trivial stabilizer groups.

For q = 3 and n = 10, 11, all 10 samples return trivial stabilizer groups.

Performance of the ring signatures



Table: The signature size (KB) of the ring signature.

Open questions

- Our ring signature obtained from OR-Sigma protocol is proven securely only in ROM. As far as we are aware, whether it is secure in QROM is still an open problem.
- Rigorous proof for trivial stabilizer group.

Thank you for your attention.



Questions please?